

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,264	02/15/2001			
,	02/13/2001 - 90 04/22/2002	Peng Cho Tang	038602-1086.	9846
FOLEY & LA		EXAMINER		
Washington Ha	rbour	STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE		
3000 K Street N Washington, DO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
C ,			1626 DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002	lil .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231

				PATES OF	I .		
		APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	ED APPLICANT		
						ATTY, DOCKET NO.	Ţ
						EXAMINER	_
						ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	 A
						11	_
						11	
						DATE MAILED:	
		This is a communication fro	orn the examiner in d ENTS AND TRADEM	harge of your application.			
,				OFFICE ACTION S	SUMMARY	•	
1	X	Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on	Laci 13	2007		
ſ		This action is FINAL.	_				_
,	_						
Ĺ	J	Since this application is i accordance with the prac	n condition for allo tice under Ex part	wance except for formal ma le Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 45	itters, prosecution a 3 O.G. 213.	s to the merits is closed in	
٠,	A sh	Ortened statutory neriod	for roomana si st	ta a sat of the	(C)		
					respond within the	month(s), eathers—control for response will cause	
1	.13	eppiication to become abs 6(a).	andoned. (35 U.S.	C. § 133). Extensions of tir	ne may be obtained	period for response will cause under the provisions of 37 CFR	٠
		osition of Cialms				,	
N	K.	Claim(s)	(0)	,		:	
K		Of the above, claim(s)	400	<u> </u>		a/are pending in the application.	
Г	_	Claim(s)	رطا رکار کار	20-24,26-29,35,3	7,43,45,47 ~ 52	b/are withdrawn from consideration.	
- J-	•						
X	, ,	74 TO . 10 . 11	.14 25 36 3	13 21 up // 11 11		is/are allowed.	
Σ Σ	ă	Claim(s) 1,2,8,10,11 Claim(s) 3-7,13,17	,19,25,30,3 -19,31,32 3	-100,70,11,76 (150	is/are atlowed.	
X	₫ 0	Zaim(s) 3-7, 13, 17	,14,25,30,3 -19,31,32,3	<u>137,38,42,44,41</u>			
A;	3 c	Haim(s) 3-7,13,17	,14,25,30,3 -19,31,32,3 	-100,70,11,76 (
¥ □ [or o	Calim(s) 3-7,13,17 Calim(s)	-19,31,32,3	4,37,38,42,44,4	are subjec		
*	or o	Cation Papers see the attached Notice of the drawing(s) filed on	-19,31,32,3	4 37,38,42,44,41	are subjec		
XICXL	2 C pp!! 3 S 3 T	Cation Papers see the attached Notice of the drawing(s) filed on the proposed drawing control of the proposed drawing control	Praftsperson's Parection, filed on	4 37,38 42,44,41	are subjected to by	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement.	
X 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 с рр#) s) т) т	Cation Papers See the attached Notice of the drawing(s) filed on the proposed drawing content of the specification is objected.	Praftsperson's Parection, filed on end to by the Examin	stent Drawing Review, PTO	are subjected to by		
	2 C	Alaim(s) 3-7/3/17 Cation Papers ee the attached Notice of the drawing(s) filed on the proposed drawing conthe specification is objected the oath or declaration is continuous.	Draftsperson's Parection, filed on do by the Examinobjected to by the Isaminobjected to by the I	stent Drawing Review, PTO	are subjected to by	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement.	
	2 C	Cation Papers See the attached Notice of the drawing(s) filed on the proposed drawing content of the specification is objected.	Draftsperson's Parection, filed on do by the Examinobjected to by the Isaminobjected to by the I	stent Drawing Review, PTO	are subjected to by	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement.	
	O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Adam(s) 3-7/3/17 Adam(s)	Draftsperson's Parection, filed on do by the Examinablected to be a claim for foreign	stent Drawing Review, PTO	are subjected to by	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement.	
	O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Alaim(s) 3-7/3/17 Alaim(s)	T Draftsperson's Parection, filed on add to by the Examinablected to by the Examination of a claim for foreign	stent Drawing Review, PTO.	are subjected to by 119(a)-(d).	ware rejected. Ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. It the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
	O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Alaim(s) 3-7/3/17 Claim(s)	Draftsperson's Parection, filed on ed to by the Examinobjected to by the Information of a claim for foreigne of the CERT	stent Drawing Review, PTO- ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. §	are subjected to by 119(a)-(d).	ware rejected. Ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. It the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
	O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Alaim(s) 3-7/3/17 Claim(s)	For Draftsperson's Parection, filed on ed to by the Examinobjected to by the Information of a claim for foreigne of the CERT	stent Drawing Review, PTO- ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. §	are subjected to by is/are objected to by in 119(a)-(d).	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
	O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Alaim(s) 3-7/3/17 Claim(s)	For Draftsperson's Parection, filed on ed to by the Examinobjected to by the Information of a claim for foreigne of the CERT	stent Drawing Review, PTO- ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. §	are subjected to by is/are objected to by in 119(a)-(d).	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
	O C PPIII ST III I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Alaim(s) 3-7/3/17 Claim(s)	To Draftsperson's Parection, filed on do by the Examinablected to by the Indiana of a claim for foreigne of the CERT. No. (Series Code/	stent Drawing Review, PTO- ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. §	are subjected to by is/are objected to by in 119(a)-(d).	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
Pri .	O C PPH S T I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Alaim(s) 3-7/3/17 Cation Papers ee the attached Notice of the drawing(s) filed on he proposed drawing con the specification is objected to eath or declaration is continuously under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Continuously The Continuously Continuou	I Draftsperson's Parection, filed on ed to by the Examinobjected to be a claim for foreigned to be a claim foreigned to b	stent Drawing Review, PTO- ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § IFIED copies of the priority Serial Number) from the International Bure	are subjected to by six are objected to by 119(a)-(d). documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
× . ×	O C PPPIII STITUTE AC CET AC	Alaim(s) 3-7/3/17 Cation Papers ee the attached Notice of the drawing(s) filed on he proposed drawing con the specification is objected to eath or declaration is continuously under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Continuously The Continuously Continuou	I Draftsperson's Parection, filed on ed to by the Examinobjected to be a claim for foreigned to be a claim foreigned to b	stent Drawing Review, PTO- ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. §	are subjected to by six are objected to by 119(a)-(d). documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
	Political Control of Action Not	Alaim(s) 3-7/3/17 Cation Papers ee the attached Notice of the drawing(s) filed on he proposed drawing con the specification is objected the oath or declaration is continuously under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Continuously The Continuously The Continuously U.S.C. § 119 Continuously The Continuously The Continuously U.S.C. § 119 Continuously The Contin	I Draftsperson's Parection, filed on ed to by the Examinobjected to be a claim for formes	stent Drawing Review, PTO- ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § IFIED copies of the priority from the International Bure stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	are subjected to by six are objected to by 119(a)-(d). documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
	Political Control of Action Not	Alaim(s) 3-7/3/17 Cation Papers ee the attached Notice of the drawing(s) filed on he proposed drawing con the specification is objected the oath or declaration is continuously under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Continuously The Continuously The Continuously U.S.C. § 119 Continuously The Continuously The Continuously U.S.C. § 119 Continuously The Contin	I Draftsperson's Parection, filed on ed to by the Examinobjected to be a claim for formes	stent Drawing Review, PTO- ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § IFIED copies of the priority from the International Bure stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	are subjected to by six are objected to by 119(a)-(d). documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
	PPH ST TITE AC CERTAIN Noting to Control of the Con	Alaim(s) 3-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 2-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 2-7/	For Draftsperson's Parection, filed on add to by the Examinobjected to by the Examinobjected to by the Examinobjected to by the CERT. No. (Series Code/All stage application in a claim for domessing	stent Drawing Review, PTO- ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § IFIED copies of the priority Serial Number) from the International Bure	are subjected to by six are objected to by 119(a)-(d). documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
	O C Politic Ac Certainte	Alaim(s) 3-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 2-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 3-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 4-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 4-7/4,17 Alaim(s) 4-7/	F Draftsperson's Parection, filed on ed to by the Examinobjected to by the Examinobjected to by the I of a claim for foreigne of the CERT. No. (Series Code/i stage application is a claim for domes of the CERT.	atent Drawing Review, PTO. ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § IFIED copies of the priority Serial Number) from the International Bure stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	are subjected to by six are objected to by 119(a)-(d). documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
	O C Popular Time Time Time Not Inter Not	Alaim(s) 3-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 2-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 3-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 4-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 4-7/	I Draftsperson's Parection, filed on to by the Examinablected to by the Examinable Exa	atent Drawing Review, PTO. ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § IFIED copies of the priority Serial Number) from the International Bure stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	are subjected to by six are objected to by 119(a)-(d). documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	
	O C Popular Time Time Time Not Inter Not	Alaim(s) 3-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 2-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 3-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 4-7/3,17 Alaim(s) 4-7/4,17 Alaim(s) 4-7/	I Draftsperson's Parection, filed on to by the Examinablected to by the Examinable Exa	atent Drawing Review, PTO. ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § IFIED copies of the priority Serial Number) from the International Bure stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	are subjected to by six are objected to by 119(a)-(d). documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ware rejected. ware objected to. It to restriction or election requirement. the Examiner. Is approved disapproved.	

X Z Π,

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-60 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no undue burden on the Examiner to examine Groups I and II together.

Applicants' argument has been considered but has not been found persuasive. Section 121 provides the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks with the authority to restrict applications to several claimed inventions when those inventions are found to be independent and distinct. In the instant application, the Examiner has determined that several independent and distinct inventions are claimed in the application. Separate search considerations are involved if Groups I and II were to be examined together. Therefore, it would impose undue burden to the Examiner and the Patent Office's resources to examine the inventions of Group I and Group II in a single application.

Art Unit: 1626

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The generic concept, inclusive of the elected species of Example 80 on page 131, which has been examined is as follows:

Compounds of Formula (I) wherein

 R^6 is $-C(=O)R^{10}$; R^{10} is $-N(R^{11})(CH_2)_nR^{12}$; R^{12} is $NR^{13}R^{14}$;

 R^{13} and R^{14} do not combine to form a ring.

Support for this generic concept can be find in the instant specification on page 53, lines 22-26 and page 54, lines 1-4.

Subject matter not embraced by the above identified generic concept and claims 9, 12, 15, 16, 20-24, 26-29, 35, 39, 43, 45, 47 and 52-60 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 10.

Art Unit: 1626

It is suggested that in order to advance prosecution, the non-elected subject matter be canceled when responding to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 14, "carbonyl" is misspelled (page 214, line 12). Claim 41 lacks antecedent basis from claim 1 because of the R^{12} substituent $-N^+(O^-)NR^{13}R^{14}$.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 10, 11, 25, 30, 33, 36, 40, 46 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. {U.S. Pat. 6,130,239}.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Applicants claim aryloxindoles. Chen et al. teach aryloxindoles which are structurally similar to the instant claimed products. See in the reference, for example, Formula II in claim 34 (column 129) wherein b is a heterocycle, R² is halogen, R³ is alkyl, X is =CR⁵, R⁵ is –CONR⁸R⁹,R⁹ is hydrogen, R⁸ is an alkyl substituted with NR⁹R¹⁰ and R⁹ and R¹⁰ are each alkyl (columns 129-131 and column 2, lines 19-28) or see Example 127B in column 114.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

Art Unit: 1626

The difference between the products of the prior art and the products instantly claimed is that of generic description.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

The motivation to make the claimed compounds derives from the expectation that structurally similar compounds would possess similar activity (e.g. an anti-tumor agent). One skilled in the art would thus be motivated to prepare products embraced by the reference genera to arrive at the instant claimed products with the expectation of obtaining additional beneficial products which would be useful in treating tumors. Therefore, the instant claimed products would have been suggested to one skilled in the art.

The elected species is free of the art of record because the prior art fails to teach or suggest a hydrogen at the 4-position of the oxindole ring and a substituted amino-alkyl-carboxamide group at the 4-position of the pyrrole ring.

Art Unit: 1626

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7, 13, 17-19, 31, 32, 34, 37, 38, 42, 44, 48, 49 and 51 are objected to: (1) as being dependent upon a rejected base claim; and (2) for containing non-elected subject matter (see above generic concept) but would be allowable over the art of record if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1875. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 am to 2:30 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (703) 308-4537.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235, 308-0196 or 305-3290.

Art Unit: 1626

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556, 308-4242, 305-1935 or 308-2742.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620

Technology Center 1600

April 19, 2002